

Breast Cancer Research Council Meeting Minutes
May 29, 2003
Oakland, CA

Members Present: Ellen Mahoney, Robert Kaplan, Sandy Walsh, Anna Wu, Jackie Papkoff, Elaine Ashby, Vicki Boriack, Georjean Stoodt, Craig Henderson, Diana Chingos, Irene Linayao-Putman, Janet Howard-Espinoza, Dorothy Bainton, Michael Figueroa, Debra Oto-Kent

Staff Present: Janna Cordeiro, Laurence Fitzgerald, Charles Gruder, Marion Kavanaugh-Lynch, Katherine McKenzie, Walter Price, Mary Daughtry, Roslyn Roberts, Joyce Price, Steven Baratz, Sharon Simms,

Funding Recommendation Subcommittees Meet

The Council met in five different Programmatic Review Committees to review applications and develop funding recommendations. The Council then reconvened with each committee presenting its recommendations.

I. Call to Order and Introduction:

The meeting was called to order by Chair Anna Wu at 1:30 pm

II. Cycle VIII Funding:

Mhel began by reviewing the Cycle VIII Resource Allocations which reflect the various award types and funding for Cycles I through VIII. A total of \$11,991,640 is available to fund Cycle IX grants.

Applications received for Cycle IX were divided into primary and complementary categories and then into acceptable and unacceptable scientific merit scores. Based on the council's recommendations for funding, the overall success rate was 21.7 percent. Of the primary applications with acceptable scientific merit, the funding rate was 50 percent. The complementary applications had a 15 % overall success rate, and from those with acceptable scientific merit, a 23 percent success rate.

MOTION: Elaine Ashby made a motion to recommend for funding the primary grant applications indicated on the funding model. The motion was seconded by Robert Kaplan, and passed unanimously.

The Council discussed options for selecting applications to be placed on a three tiered "pay if" list.

MOTION: A motion was made to recommend for funding the complementary applications indicated on the funding model and recommend the indicated applications for the "pay-if" list, allowing CBCRP staff to make a final decision on the order in which "pay ifs" would be funded. The motion was seconded by Irene Linayao-Putman and passed unanimously.

The applications received for the Diversity Supplement Award were discussed by the council and recommendations were made for funding.

MOTION: Elaine Ashby moved to not fund the Diversity Supplement Awards. The motion was seconded by Robert Kaplan and passed unanimously.

Walter Price presented an overview of the concept of the CRC Supplement Award and the council discussed the merits of funding the application.

MOTION: Ellen Mahoney motioned to fund the CRC Supplement Award. The motioned was seconded by Michael Figueroa. The motion passed with one council member abstaining.

MOTION: Sandy Walsh motioned to allow the CBCRP staff to make final recommendations for the Tax Check Off portfolio. The motion was seconded by Irene Linayao-Putman and carried.

Based on the Council's discussions, the attached list represents the selected grants recommended for the Cycle IX grant portfolio.

III. Director's Report – Committee Reports

CBCRP Personell:

Mhel reported the CBCRP is fully staffed.

Fundraising:

Mhel reported on the outcome of the Executive Team Meeting held in Los Angeles, on May 20, 2003. In addition, the Program was selected as one of the primary beneficiaries of the San Francisco Chronicle Marathon scheduled for July, 2003.

Outreach Committee Report:

Katie Mc Kenzie made a plea for the council to make recommendations for artists for the 2003 symposium and copies of the Symposium Program were distributed to council members. Ellen Mahoney reminded those council members responsible for articles for the upcoming newsletter to submit their articles.

IV. New Business

Council Nominations

Chair Anna Wu opened the floor for nominations for Chair and Vice Chair of the CBCRP council.

Nominees were: Debra Oto-Kent, Diana Chingos, Jacqueline Papkoff, and Elaine Ashby.

Nominatees were requested to submit a statement of why they would like to serve in this capacity before the next council meeting. Anna proposed that the council vote for the Chair first and then select the Vice Chair from the three remaining candidates, keeping in mind that an advocate has always served as either Chair or Vice Chair. The Council agreed by consensus.

Adjournment:

The meeting was adjourned at 4:30 pm.

